

**EXHIBIT 28**  
**Excerpts from**  
**Jamie Frost Depo.**  
**Vol. II, 11/12/19**

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019

\* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

Page 1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \* \* \*

4 TRINITA FARMER, )  
5 individually, ) Case No.  
6 ) 2:18-cv-00860-GMN-VCF

7 Plaintiff, )

8 vs. )

9 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN )

10 POLICE DEPARTMENT, a )

11 political subdivision of )

12 the State of Nevada; )

13 KENNETH LOPERA, )

14 individually; TRAVIS )

15 CRUMRINE, individually; )

16 MICHAEL TRAN, individually; )

17 MICHAEL FLORES, )

18 individually, )

19 )

20 Defendants. )

21 \_\_\_\_\_ )

22 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE

23 30(b)(6) REPRESENTATIVE OF LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN  
24 POLICE DEPARTMENT

25 Taken on Tuesday, November 12, 2019

At 10:05 a.m.

Taken at Lagomarsino Law

3005 West Horizon Ridge Parkway

Suite 241

Henderson, Nevada 89052

24

25 Reported by: Sarah Safier, CCR No. 808

**CONDENSED  
TRANSCRIPT**

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE,  2 30(b)(6) Representative of Las Vegas Metropolitan  3 Police Department, taken at Lagomarsino Law, 3005  4 West Horizon Ridge Parkway, Henderson, Nevada, on  5 Tuesday, November 12, 2019, at 10:05 a.m., before  6 Sarah Safier, Certified Court Reporter, in and for  7 the State of Nevada.  8 APPEARANCES:  9 For the Plaintiff:  10 ANDRE LAGOMARSINO, ESQ.  11 TYUS SHEPPARD  12 Lagomarsino Law  13 3005 West Horizon Ridge Parkway  14 Suite 241  15 Henderson, Nevada 89052  16  17 For the Defendant Kenneth Lopera:  18 DANIEL R. MCNUTT, ESQ.  19 McNutt Law Firm, P.C.  20 625 South Eighth Street  21 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  22 For the Defendants Las Vegas Metropolitan Police  23 Department, Travis Crumrine, Michael Tran, Michael  24 Flores and Deponent:  25 CRAIG R. ANDERSON, ESQ.  Marquis Aurbach Coffing  10001 Park Run Drive  Las Vegas, Nevada 89145    Also Present:    CHRISTOPHER BAUGH, Videographer</p>	<p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is  2 November 12, 2019. The time is approximately  3 10:05 a.m. This begins the video deposition of  4 Jamie Frost.  5 We are located at Lagomarsino Law, 3005 West  6 Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 241, Henderson, Nevada  7 89052.  8 My name is Christopher Baugh, court  9 videographer with Las Vegas Legal Video.  10 This is United States District Court,  11 District of Nevada, Case No. 2:18-cv-00860-GMN-VCF,  12 in the matter of Trinita Farmer versus Las Vegas  13 Metropolitan Police Department, et al.  14 This video deposition is requested by  15 attorneys for the plaintiff.  16 Will counsel please state your appearances  17 for the record.  18 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Andre Lagomarsino for  19 plaintiff, Trinita Farmer. Also present today is  20 Tyus Sheppard shadowing.  21 MR. MCNUTT: Dan McNutt on behalf of Officer  22 Ken Lopera.  23 MR. ANDERSON: Craig Anderson on behalf of  24 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officers  25 Crumrine, Tran and Flores and the witness.</p>
Page 3	Page 5
<p>1 INDEX  2 Witness: JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE  3 Examination Further Examination  4 By Mr. Lagomarsino 5 71  5 By Mr. McNutt 63  6 EXHIBITS  7 EXHIBIT PAGE  8 1 - 9/30/17 Memo to Lopera/Notice of 15  9 Pre-Termination Hearing, Adjudication  10 of Complaint/Lopera 5/14/17  11 1A - Plaintiff's First Amended Notice of 6  12 Videotaped Deposition  13  14 2 - Employment Separation Notice 11  15  16 9 - Cases Involving the Neck 8  17  18 10 - 11/27/14 Adjudication of Complaint 38  19  20 11 - 10/8/13 Adjudication of Complaint 40  21  22 12 - 10/31/14 Adjudication of Complaint 41  23  24 13 - 1/12/15 Adjudication of Complaint 44  25  14 - 6/29/14 Adjudication of Complaint 47  15  16 15 - 2/11/16 Adjudication of Complaint 48  17  18 16 - 1/28/15 Adjudication of Complaint 48  19  20 17 - 8/24/17 Memo to Sheriff Lombardo, 13  21 Subject: Tactical Review Board  22 21 - Use of Force Complaints 2012-2017 51  23 22 - LVNR Statistics 2012 - 2017 57  24 INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED  25 Page Line  72 25</p>	<p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you, Counsel.  2 The deponent may now be sworn in by Sarah  3 Safier with All-American Court Reporters.  4 Whereupon --  5 JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE  6 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole  7 truth, and nothing but the truth, was examined and  8 testified as follows:  9 EXAMINATION  10 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:  11 Q Can you please state your name and spell  12 your last name for the record.  13 A Jamie Frost, F-R-O-S-T.  14 Q Have you ever had your deposition taken  15 before?  16 A Yes.  17 Q On how many occasions?  18 A I think two that I can recall.  19 Q Okay. Were they in a similar 30(b)(6)  20 capacity?  21 A One was. I think the other one might have  22 been -- it was me in my position at Metro, but I  23 can't remember if I was actually a 30(b)(6) or not.  24 Q You understand that today you have been  25 designated as a 30(b)(6) witness?</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And in that regard, you have an obligation</p> <p>3 to tell the truth?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q I'm going to hand you -- we'll mark this as</p> <p>6 Exhibit 1A, because I have a different 1.</p> <p>7 (Deposition Exhibit No. 1A was</p> <p>8 marked for identification.)</p> <p>9 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>10 Q Have you seen Exhibit 1A before?</p> <p>11 A Yes, I believe so.</p> <p>12 Q All right. And what is your understanding</p> <p>13 of which topics you are here to testify about? And</p> <p>14 it may be easier if I just go through them and ask</p> <p>15 you if you're here to testify about certain topics.</p> <p>16 So are you here to testify about Topic</p> <p>17 No. 9?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q And that is, just for the record: "The</p> <p>20 separation process between LVMPD and Defendant</p> <p>21 Lopera, including all facts, documents, e-mails and</p> <p>22 other information regarding the separation. Any</p> <p>23 claim of privilege should be accompanied by a</p> <p>24 privilege log."</p> <p>25 Are you here to talk about Topics 17, 18, 19</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 A No.</p> <p>2 Q Have you ever reviewed video footage of the</p> <p>3 incident?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Without getting into privilege or work</p> <p>6 product information, are you working on this case</p> <p>7 from Metro's perspective internally?</p> <p>8 A No.</p> <p>9 (Deposition Exhibit No. 9 was</p> <p>10 marked for identification.)</p> <p>11 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>12 Q Did you review Exhibit 9 in preparation for</p> <p>13 your deposition?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And then is it your understanding that some</p> <p>16 time after Exhibit 9 was produced in this case, a</p> <p>17 subsequent request to produce was done or served for</p> <p>18 individual records relating to those incidents in</p> <p>19 Exhibit 9?</p> <p>20 A I believe so, yes.</p> <p>21 Q And did you review that documentation as</p> <p>22 well?</p> <p>23 A The Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>24 Q Yes.</p> <p>25 A Yes. I paged through them.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 and 20?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q So 17 is: "An explanation of documentation</p> <p>4 produced by LVMPD in this case concerning all LVNR</p> <p>5 reported incidents."</p> <p>6 18 is: "The discipline LVMPD police</p> <p>7 officers have received for using an improper neck</p> <p>8 restraint in the five years prior to the incident and</p> <p>9 involving Tashi Farmer."</p> <p>10 19 is: "The discipline LVMPD police</p> <p>11 officer" -- it should say police officers -- "have</p> <p>12 received for using an LVNR in the five years prior to</p> <p>13 the incident and involving Tashi Farmer."</p> <p>14 And then 20 is: "The discipline Defendant</p> <p>15 Lopera received from his actions on the May 14, 2017,</p> <p>16 incident involving Tashi Farmer."</p> <p>17 What did you do to prepare yourself to</p> <p>18 testify today?</p> <p>19 A I reviewed the documents that I believe were</p> <p>20 produced to you guys, the comparable discipline,</p> <p>21 which -- regarding the LVNR incidents.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. Any other documents?</p> <p>23 A No, I don't believe so.</p> <p>24 Q Did you review in preparation for your</p> <p>25 deposition any video footage of the incident?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 Q Okay. So if I have specific questions, we</p> <p>2 can kind of go through those, correct?</p> <p>3 A Yes. I'll do my best to answer them.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Now, if you don't know the answer to</p> <p>5 a question today, I'd ask that you just tell me you</p> <p>6 don't know. We don't want you to guess.</p> <p>7 You understand that?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And when were you first -- what is your --</p> <p>10 strike that.</p> <p>11 What is your occupation?</p> <p>12 A I'm labor relations counsel for Las Vegas</p> <p>13 Metropolitan Police Department.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. And when were you first hired by</p> <p>15 Metro?</p> <p>16 A In April 2015.</p> <p>17 Q When -- are you an attorney?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q When did you receive your license in Nevada?</p> <p>20 A In October 2009-ish.</p> <p>21 Q Are you licensed in any other states?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. After getting your license, where was</p> <p>24 your first job as an attorney?</p> <p>25 A I worked for Judge Glass at the Eighth</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Page 10	Page 12
<p>1 Judicial District Court.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. And you were a law clerk?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q All right. And a year, two years or --</p> <p>5 A One year.</p> <p>6 Q After working for Judge Glass, where did you</p> <p>7 work?</p> <p>8 A Marquis Aurbach Coffing.</p> <p>9 Q And what was your position there?</p> <p>10 A I was an attorney associate.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. And did you work in litigation?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q And you took numerous depositions, I'm</p> <p>14 assuming?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And you also not only defended but were the</p> <p>17 questioning attorney, correct?</p> <p>18 A That's correct.</p> <p>19 Q So do you feel comfortable moving forward</p> <p>20 without all the normal admonitions in terms of</p> <p>21 understanding the question and so forth?</p> <p>22 A I do.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. All right. In fact, we have sat in</p> <p>24 depositions before, correct?</p> <p>25 A Yes, we have.</p>	<p>1 any time?</p> <p>2 A All separation notices are sent to me at the</p> <p>3 time that they separate. I don't remember if I</p> <p>4 actually double-clicked and looked at the attachment</p> <p>5 or not in this particular one.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. Does it appear to you to be a true</p> <p>7 and correct copy of Ken Lopera's separation notice?</p> <p>8 A Yes, it does.</p> <p>9 Q And what was the last date that is noted as</p> <p>10 Mr. Lopera having worked?</p> <p>11 A It says here September 22, 2017, but I don't</p> <p>12 have personal knowledge of that.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Now, the incident involving Officer</p> <p>14 Lopera and Tashi Farmer occurred May 14, 2017, or</p> <p>15 thereabouts. Is that your understanding?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q Typically, what happens with officers when</p> <p>18 they're involved in an incident that results in the</p> <p>19 death of a citizen?</p> <p>20 A They are investigated by our Critical</p> <p>21 Incident Review Team, and they're generally placed on</p> <p>22 relief of duty, administrative relief of duty.</p> <p>23 Q Do you know if he was relieved of duty with</p> <p>24 pay pending the investigation?</p> <p>25 A Yes, he was.</p>
Page 11	Page 13
<p>1 Q And as you probably know, I can stutter,</p> <p>2 mumble, be a little bit of a low talker, so if you</p> <p>3 don't understand the question, please let me know.</p> <p>4 A Will do.</p> <p>5 Q All right. Other than the adjudications and</p> <p>6 this table that we have as Exhibit 9, have you</p> <p>7 reviewed any other documents in preparation for your</p> <p>8 deposition?</p> <p>9 A I don't recall reviewing anything else.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. I would like to move forward right</p> <p>11 now with Topic No. 9, the separation process between</p> <p>12 LVMPD and Defendant Lopera.</p> <p>13 (Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was</p> <p>14 marked for identification.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>16 Q What is Exhibit 2?</p> <p>17 A It's a separation notice.</p> <p>18 Q For the record, it's Bates LVMPD 4187.</p> <p>19 Is this a Metropolitan Police Department</p> <p>20 form?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q And is this the separation notice for Ken</p> <p>23 Lopera?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q Have you ever seen this document before at</p>	<p>1 Q And is that possibly the reason why his last</p> <p>2 day of work could be September 22, 2017?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. All right. It says here that Ken</p> <p>5 Lopera -- strike that.</p> <p>6 Do you know why the box "Voluntary</p> <p>7 Retirement" is checked?</p> <p>8 A Yes, because that's how he retired. That's</p> <p>9 how he separated, voluntarily.</p> <p>10 Q And why is "Disability PERS" checked?</p> <p>11 A He must have sought a disability retirement</p> <p>12 through PERS, but that's all done through PERS.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Do you know what his disability was?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Were you involved in any discussions or</p> <p>16 negotiations with Officer Lopera or his counsel about</p> <p>17 separation of employment from Metro?</p> <p>18 A No. And I'm not aware of any negotiations</p> <p>19 that occurred.</p> <p>20 Q Okay.</p> <p>21 (Deposition Exhibit No. 17 was</p> <p>22 marked for identification.)</p> <p>23 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>24 Q Have you ever seen Exhibit 17 before?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 Q What is Exhibit 17?</p> <p>2 A It is the memo that the board chair writes</p> <p>3 in conjunction with the CIRT team after the Use of</p> <p>4 Force Review Board and Tactical Review Board. It has</p> <p>5 the findings of the board, for both the Use of Force</p> <p>6 Review Board and Tactical Review Board, and the</p> <p>7 recommendations on how to proceed handling each</p> <p>8 employee.</p> <p>9 Q And is the chair of the board Assistant</p> <p>10 Sheriff Tim Kelly? If you could turn to the last</p> <p>11 page.</p> <p>12 A Yes, that's correct.</p> <p>13 Q And in reference to the employees involved,</p> <p>14 Crumrine, Tran, Flores and Lopera and Lif,</p> <p>15 recommendations were made regarding personnel action</p> <p>16 in this memo, correct?</p> <p>17 A That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q And in this memo, with respect to Officer</p> <p>19 Lopera, the recommendation is stated to review the</p> <p>20 Adjudication of Complaint. That's on Page 377. Is</p> <p>21 that accurate?</p> <p>22 A Yes, that's accurate.</p> <p>23 Q Do you know why they would reference the</p> <p>24 Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>25 A That's how they do it when they're issuing</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>2 Q Exhibit 1 is comprised of two documents, it</p> <p>3 appears. First is a memorandum dated September 20,</p> <p>4 2017, to Officer Lopera. Is it from the sheriff?</p> <p>5 A The memo?</p> <p>6 Q Yes.</p> <p>7 A Yes. I mean, his designee signs it, which</p> <p>8 is either myself or Lisa Lichtenberger, in this</p> <p>9 particular case, the manager of labor relations.</p> <p>10 Q So did Lisa Lichtenberger sign this one?</p> <p>11 A That's correct.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and</p> <p>13 correct copy of the memorandum issued to Ken Lopera?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q And it appears that attached to this memo is</p> <p>16 the Adjudication of Complaint, correct?</p> <p>17 A That's correct.</p> <p>18 Q And when it's provided to Officer Lopera, is</p> <p>19 it provided as the memo and the attached complaint?</p> <p>20 A Not always, but it looks like it was done in</p> <p>21 this particular case.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And does that appear to be a true and</p> <p>23 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint with</p> <p>24 respect to Officer Lopera?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 discipline. They -- I say "they" because the board</p> <p>2 chair rotates depending on who's handling which</p> <p>3 board.</p> <p>4 Q Okay.</p> <p>5 A We're given a recommendation, labor</p> <p>6 relations, and we draft it up, attach that to -- I</p> <p>7 believe they attach it; I've never seen it happen.</p> <p>8 But I believe they attached it to this memo that goes</p> <p>9 up to the sheriff, and then he can review the actual</p> <p>10 Adjudication of Complaint versus just the</p> <p>11 recommendation of how to handle.</p> <p>12 Q And were Adjudications of Complaint</p> <p>13 completed with respect to Crumrine, Tran and Flores?</p> <p>14 A At the time, no.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. Have they ever been completed?</p> <p>16 A I knew that was going to be your next</p> <p>17 question. I have to think about it. Definitely not</p> <p>18 for Flores or Tran. I have to think about it for</p> <p>19 Crumrine.</p> <p>20 Q Okay.</p> <p>21 A And I can get back to you on that.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 (Deposition Exhibit No. 1 was</p> <p>24 marked for identification.)</p> <p>25 ///</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 MR. ANDERSON: Are those your highlights in</p> <p>2 there, though, Andre?</p> <p>3 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Oh, yes. Sorry.</p> <p>4 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>5 Q Throughout the day, we may have highlights.</p> <p>6 When I'm asking if they're true and correct copies, I</p> <p>7 mean to say that not including the highlights.</p> <p>8 A Okay.</p> <p>9 Q So same answer?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. All right. Now, the recommendation</p> <p>12 from -- strike that.</p> <p>13 Who makes the recommendation as to</p> <p>14 disciplinary action with respect to Officer Lopera,</p> <p>15 or who made that?</p> <p>16 A Sheriff Tim Kelly.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. And was the recommendation that</p> <p>18 Officer Lopera be terminated?</p> <p>19 A That's correct.</p> <p>20 Q Do you know why Officer Lopera was allowed</p> <p>21 to retire on disability?</p> <p>22 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>23 THE WITNESS: So we can't prevent that. He</p> <p>24 would go to PERS and seek a medical disability, and</p> <p>25 that whole process is done through PERS without the</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 department's input, necessarily. I think there's one          2 form that's filled out by a supervisor or someone,          3 depends on the case. I'm not well-versed on how          4 medical disability works.          5 But we don't have any say over whether          6 somebody gets a medical disability or not. We would          7 proceed with our normal process like we did in this          8 case; we'd schedule a pre-term hearing, because the          9 Adjudication of Complaint termination is just a          10 recommendation. It has to go to a pre-term board          11 before they're terminated. And as you can see here,          12 it's about 28 days before that gets scheduled. Then          13 the sheriff has to approve the recommendation for the          14 pre-term board.          15 But if they do anything in between that time          16 period, resign, resign through PERS disability,          17 that's their call.          18 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          19 Q So in terms of the timing, Officer Lopera,          20 it appears, was notified on September 20, 2017. The          21 recommendation was that he be terminated, correct?          22 A He signed it on the 21st.          23 Q Okay. The 21st.          24 And it appears that he submitted his          25 separation the next day on September 22nd?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 department with the associations. There are two          2 different ones now: One for PMSA, the supervisors;          3 and one for PPACE, civilian; and PPA, the first-line          4 officers. And it determines our level of discipline.          5 Q Are those available online?          6 A I don't believe so, no. It's available          7 internally online or intranet, but I don't believe          8 it's public record for the public to view.          9 Q In this case, would that guide have to be          10 consulted prior to a recommendation being made?          11 A Yes, although when you have a truthfulness          12 or gross insubordination, everyone knows those are          13 automatic term cases.          14 Q Okay.          15 A And I'm not sure, I'd have to look back,          16 what his use of force was called. But -- yeah,          17 that's also gross inappropriate use of force. That's          18 also a terminable offense.          19 Q So I'm going to kind of call for a narrative          20 answer. Can you explain the process that Officer --          21 well, strike that.          22 Can you describe the process that Officer          23 Lopera went through in terms of his separation from          24 Metro, starting from the incident itself involving          25 Tashi Farmer through and inclusive of his retirement?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 A That's correct.          2 Q Okay. Is there any vetting process to          3 determine whether Officer Lopera was, in fact,          4 disabled?          5 A PERS has a doctor that reviews their medical          6 documents from their doctor, and then they make the          7 determination. And the department doesn't have a say          8 over that.          9 Q Who is that doctor?          10 A I have no idea. And those are documents          11 that I believe would be housed with PERS.          12 Q Are you aware of any other cases, either          13 while you've been with the department or before the          14 department, where officers had termination          15 recommended and then they were allowed to voluntarily          16 retire?          17 A Absolutely. It happens all the time. They          18 don't want to go through the termination proceedings          19 and end up with a termination on their record, so          20 they resign prior to.          21 Q Okay. Are you aware of a document called          22 the "Disciplinary Decision Guide"?          23 A Yes.          24 Q What is that?          25 A It is a document that's negotiated by the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 21</p> <p>1 A So basically the night of he gets relieved          2 of duty. Again, it's administrative relief of duty          3 at that point. There's a CIRT investigation that          4 takes place and a FIT investigation that takes place.          5 At some point, those -- CIRT comes up with          6 their recommended findings, and they schedule a          7 hearing with the board. That would be a Use of Force          8 Review Board and a Tactical Review Board. The Use of          9 Force is just for the officer who actually used          10 force; the Tactical Review Board are any other          11 officers that might have been involved, which is why          12 you see more names on that memo.          13 They go to the Use of Force Review Board and          14 Tactical Review Board. The Use of Force is first,          15 Tactical Review Board is second. The Use of Force          16 Review Board includes citizens, civilians from          17 outside of Metro that vote on the determination. And          18 then the TRB, Tactical Review Board, includes just          19 internal members.          20 The findings that you see on this document,          21 the Exhibit 17, would be what came out of that board          22 on all of the officers and the subject employees.          23 At that point, for Officer Lopera, a          24 conversation is had between the chain, the chair of          25 the board and labor relations to determine what the</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 22</p> <p>1 appropriate level of discipline being. Labor          2 relations looks at the Disciplinary Decision Guide          3 and comparable discipline to make a termination          4 recommendation.          5 Like I said, in this particular case, there          6 were three different policy violations that result in          7 automatic termination. There isn't discretion for          8 the chain or anybody else to determine.          9 So at that point, labor relations would          10 draft the Adjudication of Complaint with approval          11 from, in this particular case, Assistant Sheriff Tim          12 Kelly. Once he approves it, he sends everything up          13 to the sheriff. Once the sheriff approves everything          14 from that process, then the Adjudication of Complaint          15 is signed with the recommendation of termination.          16 That gets signed by the assistant sheriff and the          17 undersheriff.          18 Once it comes back to labor relations, we          19 then work on scheduling a pre-termination hearing.          20 Had he not resigned prior to the pre-termination          21 hearing, we would have proceeded with that. The          22 pre-term board would have made their determination on          23 whether they were going to uphold the termination          24 recommendation.          25 From there, their recommendation goes to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 24</p> <p>1 make the determination on whether the use of force          2 violates the use of force policy or not. That's it.          3 Then it's the chain who makes the          4 determination on whether it's a gross inappropriate          5 use of force or just a bad use of force.          6 Q Okay.          7 A And chains have considered all different          8 types of things when considering that. But we          9 have --          10 MR. MCNUTT: I'm sorry, who considered all          11 types of things.          12 THE WITNESS: The chain.          13 MR. MCNUTT: The chain?          14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the chain of          15 command.          16 MR. MCNUTT: The chain of command.          17 THE WITNESS: In this particular case, it          18 would be Assistant Sheriff Tim Kelly. Whoever is          19 determining discipline are the ones that would          20 determine whether it's gross inappropriate use of          21 force or not. And, again, there's no definition.          22 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          23 Q So if there's use of force that is a policy          24 violation, is it basically when you say "bad use of          25 force," is that basically what it's called, "bad use</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 23</p> <p>1 sheriff for final approval, whether he is going to          2 agree with the board or not.          3 In this particular case, he resigned prior          4 to the pre-term hearing as we discussed the PERS          5 medical disability retirement, and so we never had          6 the pre-termination hearing.          7 Q Are you aware of whether Officer Lopera had          8 a disability prior to the incident involving Tashi          9 Farmer?          10 A I am not aware one way or the other.          11 Q What were the bases for justifying          12 termination of Officer Lopera?          13 A The three line items, per se, would be          14 truthfulness, gross insubordination and gross          15 inappropriate use of force.          16 Q And you mentioned that there's no discretion          17 on certain line items in terms of termination being          18 recommended. Is there discretion on the gross          19 inappropriate use of force?          20 A No.          21 Q What are the levels of -- well, strike that.          22 What is grossly inappropriate use of force?          23 A We don't have a definition of that. So what          24 happens is whoever the investigating body is, whether          25 it's the Critical Incident Review Team or IAB, they</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 25</p> <p>1 of force"?          2 A No. That's just my name. It could be          3 "inappropriate," "unnecessary." It just -- basically          4 it would say in the -- in the memo or in the          5 investigative report for the IAB side that it was a          6 violation of the use of force policy. Sometimes they          7 put a word before it, sometimes they don't.          8 Q Okay. So the words you have seen, and          9 correct me if I'm wrong, are "inappropriate use of          10 force," correct?          11 A Uh-huh. I've seen "inappropriate" and I've          12 seen "unnecessary."          13 Q Okay. And then "grossly inappropriate" is          14 what's here, correct?          15 A Right. But that's not a determination made          16 by the investigating body. It's a determination made          17 by the chain that's adjudicating the case.          18 Q Okay. In this case, who would be part of          19 the chain that adjudicated Lopera's case?          20 A Assistant Sheriff Tim Kelly, he might have          21 consulted the lower parts of the chain, but on TRBs          22 and use of force, it's the chair that handles the          23 discipline.          24 Q Okay. Have you seen the term "grossly          25 inappropriate use of force" used in other cases</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 26</p> <p>1 involving officers?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Which officers do you recall?</p> <p>4 A Am I allowed to say that, Craig?</p> <p>5 MR. ANDERSON: The civilians?</p> <p>6 THE WITNESS: No, the names of officers that</p> <p>7 have also been sustained for gross inappropriate use</p> <p>8 of force.</p> <p>9 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, I don't think we're</p> <p>10 allowed to say that because of privacy issues.</p> <p>11 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Well, then let's use numbers and then</p> <p>13 talk about the types of cases that they were. So</p> <p>14 let's say under Number 1, what's the first example</p> <p>15 that you can recall?</p> <p>16 A So I can only think of two. I could be</p> <p>17 wrong, because I didn't look that up, but I can only</p> <p>18 think of two. It is rare. And that's two in my five</p> <p>19 years of being at the department. There might have</p> <p>20 been more prior to me.</p> <p>21 The one I can think of -- maybe he didn't</p> <p>22 get that designation. I know we were going back and</p> <p>23 forth on it with the chain. I'm not going to</p> <p>24 confirm, because I'm not 100 percent certain that we</p> <p>25 actually ended up calling it that.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 28</p> <p>1 see there, it was overturned.</p> <p>2 Q So was it recommended that he be terminated</p> <p>3 but ultimately it resulted in a 40-hour suspension?</p> <p>4 A No. It actually went through full</p> <p>5 termination. So it was recommended, went to the</p> <p>6 pre-term board, that upheld the termination, the</p> <p>7 sheriff upheld the termination. This employee was</p> <p>8 actually terminated.</p> <p>9 And then through the contract, they have</p> <p>10 grievance rights; he requested arbitration. And that</p> <p>11 was held, and the arbitrator overturned the</p> <p>12 termination.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Was another punishment levied as a</p> <p>14 result of the arbitrator's decision?</p> <p>15 A Yes. They issued a 40-hour suspension --</p> <p>16 Q Okay.</p> <p>17 A -- which is our next highest level of</p> <p>18 discipline.</p> <p>19 Q Who was the arbitrator? If you don't</p> <p>20 remember the name --</p> <p>21 A I feel like it was Arbitrator Whalen, Katie</p> <p>22 Whalen --</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A -- but I could be mistaken. I could look</p> <p>25 that up for you.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 27</p> <p>1 He was terminated also for truthfulness. I</p> <p>2 can't remember if he was terminated on the gross</p> <p>3 inappropriate use of force as well or not, but it was</p> <p>4 certainly something we were considering.</p> <p>5 The second one --</p> <p>6 Q Well, before I move on, so generally, what</p> <p>7 was that case about?</p> <p>8 A A use of force that occurred in a hotel, and</p> <p>9 it did not result in a death.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Was it a shooting?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q Was it a choke hold or neck restraint?</p> <p>13 A Actually, I believe it might have been, yes.</p> <p>14 Yes. Something with a neck restraint. I</p> <p>15 don't want to call it an LVNR, because I'm not</p> <p>16 well-versed on that to say that, but yes.</p> <p>17 Q Which -- which P-number are you referring to</p> <p>18 here?</p> <p>19 A 9661. It's LVMPD 1387.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And just for the record, that's on</p> <p>21 Bates 1387.</p> <p>22 A And I -- I don't believe that we actually</p> <p>23 ended up -- the chain ended up going with a gross</p> <p>24 inappropriate use of force. I think it was just the</p> <p>25 truthfulness that caused termination. And as you can</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 29</p> <p>1 Q Do you know where Metro and the unions find</p> <p>2 these arbitrators?</p> <p>3 A No. Actually, I just looked that up the</p> <p>4 other day. It doesn't say in the contract what the</p> <p>5 qualifications are, but we have a panel of, for the</p> <p>6 PPA, five. Two are picked by the association, two</p> <p>7 are picked by the department, and one is picked by</p> <p>8 both.</p> <p>9 Q Okay.</p> <p>10 A And then they just rotate depending on the</p> <p>11 proceedings that we have, so we just cycle through</p> <p>12 them continuously.</p> <p>13 Q So you're not sure on that particular case?</p> <p>14 A I don't think it actually was a gross</p> <p>15 inappropriate use of force termination because of</p> <p>16 what I remember from the arbitrator's overturning.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. So the determination was</p> <p>18 inappropriate? Is that what you recall?</p> <p>19 A Yeah, inappropriate. It looks like it was</p> <p>20 "inappropriate" and "excessive" is the words that are</p> <p>21 used here, probably the words that were used</p> <p>22 somewhere in the adjudication.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Are those words contained in a</p> <p>24 collective bargaining agreement? I mean, do they</p> <p>25 have -- are they of significance in terms of the</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 30</p> <p>1 punishment that should be received?</p> <p>2 A No. There's two categories for force.</p> <p>3 There's use of force, which would be that it violates</p> <p>4 the policy of actual use of force, and then there's</p> <p>5 gross inappropriate use of force.</p> <p>6 Q Okay. And then what was the second case</p> <p>7 that you can recall?</p> <p>8 A The second case I can recall, it -- I'm</p> <p>9 trying to see if I can see. I don't know if it's on</p> <p>10 here or not.</p> <p>11 Yes. It is LVMPD 1388.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. And that is with P-number 9845?</p> <p>13 A Yes. And, again, I don't see it on here, so</p> <p>14 I can't tell you I'm 100 percent sure. I know gross</p> <p>15 inappropriate use of force was discussed on this</p> <p>16 particular case.</p> <p>17 Q Yeah. I think it's -- sorry, I gave you the</p> <p>18 highlighted version, but I think it's -- I think one,</p> <p>19 two, three, four, five, six, seven -- seventh one</p> <p>20 there.</p> <p>21 A Oh, okay. Yes, I see that there, yes. Yes,</p> <p>22 so it was.</p> <p>23 Q Okay. Do you know in this particular case</p> <p>24 if the officer was allowed to retire?</p> <p>25 A He did not seek retirement. In fact, he</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 32</p> <p>1 requested the ones that were produced in the other</p> <p>2 lawsuit which did not have Ken Lopera's CIRT</p> <p>3 statement. So the redactions are Ken Lopera's CIRT</p> <p>4 statements. I can give you one that's not redacted,</p> <p>5 because you have the CIRT statement, but because you</p> <p>6 requested that one, I gave you what I gave them. So</p> <p>7 does that make sense?</p> <p>8 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. Yes.</p> <p>9 MR. ANDERSON: So anything that's redacted</p> <p>10 is something Ken Lopera's said in his CIRT statement.</p> <p>11 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. Would it be</p> <p>12 possible to ask Sheri to send that? I'm not going to</p> <p>13 use it today, but...</p> <p>14 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.</p> <p>15 MR. LAGOMARSINO: It seemed like whoever was</p> <p>16 redacting was very angry.</p> <p>17 MR. ANDERSON: It was me. I'm just very bad</p> <p>18 at it.</p> <p>19 MR. LAGOMARSINO: All right.</p> <p>20 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>21 Q Okay. Now, in terms of the process, I know</p> <p>22 it didn't apply to Officer Lopera, but just in</p> <p>23 general, when there's the pre-termination hearing,</p> <p>24 who participates in that hearing?</p> <p>25 A So either myself or Lisa Lichtenberger will</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 31</p> <p>1 fought this case all the way through to an</p> <p>2 arbitration.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. And what was the outcome of the</p> <p>4 arbitration?</p> <p>5 A The termination was upheld.</p> <p>6 Q What was the basis for Ken Lopera's --</p> <p>7 strike that.</p> <p>8 What was the basis for the determination</p> <p>9 that Ken Lopera was grossly insubordinate?</p> <p>10 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>11 THE WITNESS: I didn't have any</p> <p>12 conversations with the assistant sheriff, so I would</p> <p>13 go with anything that's written in this board memo</p> <p>14 that would make that call.</p> <p>15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>16 Q Okay. All right.</p> <p>17 A And it's in the Adjudication of Complaint.</p> <p>18 That would explain that as well.</p> <p>19 Q These were recently produced in this case,</p> <p>20 the board memo. Do you know why certain parts of the</p> <p>21 memo are redacted?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q Did you produce these to Marquis Aurbach to</p> <p>24 produce in this case?</p> <p>25 MR. ANDERSON: I can tell you why. You</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 33</p> <p>1 do it, make the representation on behalf of the</p> <p>2 department, along with the chain. And typically it's</p> <p>3 a bureau commander, depending on if it's from IAB.</p> <p>4 But in Use of Force Review Board, it would</p> <p>5 be the assistant sheriff, the chair, so in this case</p> <p>6 Assistant Sheriff Tim Kelly, and then on the other</p> <p>7 side it would be Officer Lopera, whoever he brings</p> <p>8 for his representative or representatives. And then</p> <p>9 the board members are -- there's a panel. There's</p> <p>10 the top board members and then there's alternates.</p> <p>11 It's a deputy chief, bureau commander captain and a</p> <p>12 civilian bureau commander. So it just depends on</p> <p>13 what the scheduling looks like and who gets picked</p> <p>14 for that.</p> <p>15 Q How many people are on that particular</p> <p>16 panel?</p> <p>17 A Three.</p> <p>18 Q And is that a majority decision or</p> <p>19 unanimous?</p> <p>20 A Majority.</p> <p>21 Q After the determination is made, then it's</p> <p>22 sent to the sheriff?</p> <p>23 A That's correct.</p> <p>24 Q And the sheriff either upholds or declines</p> <p>25 to uphold; is that correct?</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 A Yeah.</p> <p>2 Q If the sheriff upholds it, is that when it</p> <p>3 goes to arbitration if there's an appeal?</p> <p>4 A Yeah. So if they uphold the recommendation</p> <p>5 for termination, if it's a termination recommendation</p> <p>6 and the sheriff upholds it, that's the date they're</p> <p>7 officially terminated. They leave the department,</p> <p>8 and they have the opportunity to file their request</p> <p>9 for arbitration.</p> <p>10 Q When is the last possible point an officer</p> <p>11 can voluntarily retire during this process?</p> <p>12 A When you say "voluntarily retire," going</p> <p>13 back to Exhibit 1A, are you meaning without having</p> <p>14 the designation -- okay.</p> <p>15 Q Sorry, Exhibit 2, yeah.</p> <p>16 A Exhibit 2, sorry.</p> <p>17 Q Yeah, yeah.</p> <p>18 A Do you mean -- there's voluntary</p> <p>19 resignation, voluntary retirement and voluntary</p> <p>20 termination. Are you saying when would we</p> <p>21 involuntary terminate them?</p> <p>22 Q Let me rephrase the question. So in this</p> <p>23 case, Officer Lopera took a voluntary retirement.</p> <p>24 During this general process that we have described,</p> <p>25 boards, Use of Force Board, Tactical Board, pre-term</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 be checked, knowing that their complete file would be</p> <p>2 seen by anyone who gets access to the personnel file</p> <p>3 through the waiver process that we have. So...</p> <p>4 Q Okay. I understand that. What -- just</p> <p>5 generally speaking, what is your knowledge as to the</p> <p>6 benefits an officer would receive under PERS or</p> <p>7 dis- -- if they file under disability PERS?</p> <p>8 A Generally what my personal knowledge is is</p> <p>9 that they get to start receiving their PERS right</p> <p>10 away versus having to wait until they have age or</p> <p>11 years. I don't know what that amount looks like and</p> <p>12 how much they get. I know it's a reduced amount, but</p> <p>13 I have no knowledge as to that.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. In this case, Officer Lopera had</p> <p>15 Bryan Yant as his union representative from the</p> <p>16 LVPPA. What contact does Metro have with the union</p> <p>17 representative during this process?</p> <p>18 A That's a very broad question. So just</p> <p>19 generally speaking --</p> <p>20 Q Yes.</p> <p>21 A -- they receive copies of the notice. And I</p> <p>22 say "they" because it's generally PPA. We don't</p> <p>23 always know who's going to be their specific rep</p> <p>24 until they show up on the day of their interview. So</p> <p>25 then the interview would occur in CIRT, and I would</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 hearing, sheriff, arbitration, when is the last</p> <p>2 possible point somebody can take a voluntary</p> <p>3 retirement as is noted on Exhibit 2?</p> <p>4 A Okay. So the reason why I'm getting held up</p> <p>5 is because there's actual check boxes underneath</p> <p>6 those "voluntary retirement," "voluntary</p> <p>7 resignation." The only time we would check</p> <p>8 "involuntary termination" is if we actually</p> <p>9 terminated them.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A Underneath the boxes there's "in lieu of</p> <p>12 non-confirmation" or "in lieu of pre-term hearing."</p> <p>13 We have an agreement with PPA that if they resign --</p> <p>14 I believe this is for all cases -- it's either a week</p> <p>15 or two weeks, I'm not sure off the top of my head,</p> <p>16 prior to the pre-term hearing, then we call it a</p> <p>17 "voluntary." But there have been other cases -- not</p> <p>18 this one, because we didn't even have a date set for</p> <p>19 this one -- but there have been other cases where</p> <p>20 we've allowed it to be closer to because it is in --</p> <p>21 obviously to our benefit as well to not have to spend</p> <p>22 the money on an arbitration and all of those things</p> <p>23 and the risk that sometimes we get these officers</p> <p>24 sent back.</p> <p>25 So there are times when we allow that box to</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 guess he would be present. Again, I can't tell you</p> <p>2 whether Bryan Yant was present at that interview or</p> <p>3 not or if he was only -- somebody else was and he was</p> <p>4 present at the TRB, we also provide them the notice</p> <p>5 of the TRB and the Use of Force Review Board.</p> <p>6 Then the next time we'd have contact with</p> <p>7 them -- again, we might have contact in between</p> <p>8 because of the array of questions that come up. But</p> <p>9 just per our procedure would be if they reach out to</p> <p>10 us and tell us they're representing them at the</p> <p>11 pre-term hearing, then we would work with them on</p> <p>12 getting them documents for the pre-term hearing. But</p> <p>13 typically speaking, it wouldn't be Bryan Yant that</p> <p>14 represented at the pre-term hearing; it would be Dave</p> <p>15 Roger or Kelly Sweeney at that point.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And those are two lawyers?</p> <p>17 A Not Kelly, but Dave is.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And are you communicating with the</p> <p>19 PPA via e-mail as well as over the phone?</p> <p>20 A I don't recall any communications with them</p> <p>21 on this particular case.</p> <p>22 The notices -- I don't know, because they</p> <p>23 didn't come from my office. They come from either</p> <p>24 the investigating body's office, so in this case,</p> <p>25 CIRT. They might e-mail, they might fax. I don't</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 38</p> <p>1 know. But I don't know if there's any additional          2 communication besides sending that notice to them of          3 the interview and of the board. Yeah, I don't know.          4 Q All right. I'm going to move on to 16 -- or          5 17, excuse me. So 17 is an explanation of          6 documentation produced by LVMPD in this case          7 concerning all LVNR reported incidents.          8 So I'd like you to -- you still have          9 Exhibit 9 there, which is the table, correct?          10 A Yes.          11 Q And I submitted a request to produce the          12 Adjudication of Complaints or other documentation          13 concerning some of the -- well, concerning the          14 incidents in that table. And it appears that maybe          15 one or two were apparently inadvertently left off,          16 but I wanted to go through those with you and just          17 verify that. Okay?          18 A Okay.          19 Q So I'm going to hand you a series of          20 exhibits. Well, maybe we can just do it one at a          21 time, make it easier. So I'm going to hand you          22 Exhibit 10.          23 (Deposition Exhibit No. 10 was marked          24 for identification.)          25 ///</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 40</p> <p>1 those line and applicable disciplines is agreed upon          2 with the associations.          3 (Deposition Exhibit No. 11 was marked          4 for identification.)          5 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          6 Q Does 11 -- strike that.          7 Does Exhibit 11 appear to be the          8 Adjudication of Complaint relative to P-number 6876?          9 A Yes. It does appear that way.          10 Q Okay. And for the record, the prior          11 Adjudication of Complaint that's Exhibit 10 is 0177,          12 Exhibit 11 is 0178, produced by Metro.          13 Does Exhibit 11, with the exception of any          14 highlights or redactions, appear to be a true and          15 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint?          16 A Yes.          17 Q Who are -- strike that.          18 Who does Sergeant Steve Williams oversee?          19 A The employee, subject employee.          20 Q And Zolman?          21 A Would be the next level of supervision, I'm          22 assuming a lieutenant.          23 Q Okay. And then so I guess it's kind of been          24 the chain of command essentially, right?          25 A Yes.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 39</p> <p>1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          2 Q So Exhibit 10 appears to be, when you          3 reference the table, the incident involving P-number          4 8862; is that correct?          5 A That's what it looks like.          6 Q And does Exhibit 10 appear to be a true and          7 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint with          8 the exception of the redactions?          9 A Yes.          10 Q William Teel on Exhibit 10, is that the area          11 commander, or who is that?          12 A That's the bureau commander at the jail.          13 Q Okay. And who is Charles Hank?          14 A It was the assistant sheriff over the jail          15 at the time.          16 Q And Lieutenant Fred Meyer?          17 A Immediate supervisor.          18 Q All right.          19 A So this case would have come out of Internal          20 Affairs, which is why it's adjudicated differently.          21 Q Is the Disciplinary Decision Guide a          22 document that's drafted by the department or the          23 union or both?          24 A Literally drafted, yes, by the department,          25 but it's a negotiated document. So each and every of</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 41</p> <p>1 Q Sergeant, Lieutenant Zolman, Captain Baker;          2 is that right?          3 A Yeah. I don't know who that is.          4 Q And then Division Commander Fasulo?          5 A Chief at the time.          6 Q Chief. Okay.          7 A And you'll see when you get to later dates,          8 this changes. We stopped having below a bureau          9 commander participate in the adjudication process,          10 through negotiations with the associations. So some          11 of the adjudications might look different depending          12 on the years.          13 Q Okay. All right. So then going to          14 Exhibit 12.          15 (Deposition Exhibit No. 12 was marked          16 for identification.)          17 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          18 Q So I'm skipping on the table for now          19 P-number 7980 and going to P-number 9661. Does it          20 appear that Exhibit 12 relates to P-number 9661?          21 A Yes. And I stand corrected. That was gross          22 inappropriate use of force, based on the          23 adjudication.          24 Q Okay. Thank you for clarifying.          25 Does Exhibit 12 appear to be a true and</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Page 42	Page 44
<p>1 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>2 A The Adjudication of Complaint after the</p> <p>3 arbitration, yes.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Who is Gary Schofield?</p> <p>5 A He was the deputy chief at the time. When</p> <p>6 cases come back from an arbitrator and there's no</p> <p>7 decision-making that goes into place, we just have</p> <p>8 one person in the chain sign off on the final</p> <p>9 adjudication.</p> <p>10 Q So on the bottom of Exhibit 12, it appears</p> <p>11 that there's writing that says "Use" -- is it "purge</p> <p>12 date of" --</p> <p>13 A "1/31/15."</p> <p>14 Q I apologize. For the record, at the bottom</p> <p>15 of the first page. What is a purge date, at least in</p> <p>16 reference to this document?</p> <p>17 A So that's the start purge date. So for</p> <p>18 40 hours, it would mean that this would purge from</p> <p>19 the personnel file on January 31, 2020.</p> <p>20 Q And can you explain the policy and/or law on</p> <p>21 purging of disciplinary documents from officers'</p> <p>22 files?</p> <p>23 A So it is, again, a negotiated item. It's</p> <p>24 mandatory collective bargaining. For reprimands,</p> <p>25 they purge at 18 months; minor discipline, which</p>	<p>1 anything.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. I may have asked you this, but I</p> <p>3 apologize. So Exhibit 12, is that a true and correct</p> <p>4 copy?</p> <p>5 A Yes, it appears so. Again, after the</p> <p>6 arbitrator's decision, so there would have been one</p> <p>7 prior to this, but this is the final adjudication</p> <p>8 based on the decision of the arbitration.</p> <p>9 Q Are you aware of any contact reports that</p> <p>10 Ken Lopera had in his career at Metro?</p> <p>11 A I'm not aware one way or the other.</p> <p>12 Q Okay.</p> <p>13 (Deposition Exhibit No. 13 was marked</p> <p>14 for identification.)</p> <p>15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>16 Q So I will ask you to look at LVMPD 1388 on</p> <p>17 Exhibit 9, and let me know if this adjudication</p> <p>18 complaint references the use of force incident.</p> <p>19 A Yes, it does.</p> <p>20 Q And that's with P-number 9845?</p> <p>21 A Yes.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. And does this appear to be a true and</p> <p>23 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>24 A Yes, it does.</p> <p>25 MR. MCNUTT: Can I ask her a question?</p>
Page 43	Page 45
<p>1 could be suspension to disciplinary transfer, purge</p> <p>2 at three years; and major, a purge at five years.</p> <p>3 The calculation of the purge date depends on</p> <p>4 the type of case, but generally speaking, it's either</p> <p>5 the date they sign the adjudication or three months</p> <p>6 after the case is open, whichever is earlier.</p> <p>7 There's some nuances depending on if there's</p> <p>8 stays in the case or if it's an expedited.</p> <p>9 Once they purge, they're out of their</p> <p>10 personnel file, so that the department can't use it</p> <p>11 against them as far as escalating future discipline</p> <p>12 or withholding transfers or promotions. It can't be</p> <p>13 used against them, but we do keep them in response to</p> <p>14 Brady requests.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And how about contact reports? When</p> <p>16 are they purged? Are those even placed in the file?</p> <p>17 A Not in the personnel file, no. They're</p> <p>18 placed in the supervisor employee personnel file</p> <p>19 that's housed with just the supervisor. Our policy</p> <p>20 is one year they purge.</p> <p>21 Q Are those kept for Brady requests?</p> <p>22 A No. It's not discipline.</p> <p>23 Q What is a contact report?</p> <p>24 A It is a counseling -- a documentation of a</p> <p>25 counseling, good, bad or indifferent. It could be</p>	<p>1 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Sure.</p> <p>2 MR. MCNUTT: Ms. Frost, what are you looking</p> <p>3 at on the Adjudication of Complaint form, whichever</p> <p>4 exhibit you care to look at, and then</p> <p>5 cross-referencing it with Exhibit 9 to verify that</p> <p>6 we're talking about the same one?</p> <p>7 THE WITNESS: The facts of the case. This</p> <p>8 one in particular, I know well, so this one I could</p> <p>9 tell you 100 percent it matches.</p> <p>10 But, yes, I'm looking at the facts as</p> <p>11 outlined in the Adjudication of Complaint and the</p> <p>12 facts in the comp chart. The comp chart is a summary</p> <p>13 of the Adjudication of Complaint.</p> <p>14 MR. MCNUTT: Okay. If you weren't familiar</p> <p>15 with the facts of the case, how would you link these</p> <p>16 two up?</p> <p>17 THE WITNESS: So, like, in the first two</p> <p>18 that Mr. Lagomarsino presented to me, I just looked</p> <p>19 at the facts as outlined in the Adjudication of</p> <p>20 Complaint and matched them to the facts in the comp</p> <p>21 summary.</p> <p>22 MR. MCNUTT: Okay. But there's no -- you</p> <p>23 would agree with me that there's no numerical</p> <p>24 reference or non- -- you know, some sort of</p> <p>25 administrative way that you've tagged these? It's</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 46</p> <p>1 just reading the facts of the complaint to marry them</p> <p>2 up, correct?</p> <p>3 THE WITNESS: Are you asking how we produce</p> <p>4 them or how am I answering these questions today?</p> <p>5 MR. MCNUTT: Well, I have no qualms with how</p> <p>6 you're answering the questions. I'm just asking if</p> <p>7 we've got a whole different set of documents how I</p> <p>8 would do it independently if I didn't have your</p> <p>9 knowledge.</p> <p>10 THE WITNESS: You would just have to look at</p> <p>11 the facts in the comp and marry them to the</p> <p>12 Adjudication of Complaint facts.</p> <p>13 MR. MCNUTT: Thank you.</p> <p>14 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>15 Q And just to clarify an answer that you just</p> <p>16 gave, Metro was provided discovery requests asking</p> <p>17 for documentation pertaining to the chart that's</p> <p>18 Exhibit 9, correct?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q And Exhibit 9, is that a chart that was</p> <p>21 drafted for purposes of this litigation in response</p> <p>22 to a discovery request?</p> <p>23 A The way it looks, yes. We keep a master</p> <p>24 chart in our files, in our H drive of everything. So</p> <p>25 we pulled out the ones that were relevant to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 48</p> <p>1 Q And does Exhibit 14 appear to be a true and</p> <p>2 correct copy of the Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>3 A Yes. Well, I don't know why it's not</p> <p>4 signed. But the complaint -- the way it's written</p> <p>5 and everything looks accurate based on how we do</p> <p>6 things, but I don't know why this one is not signed.</p> <p>7 Q So I guess a better question, does it appear</p> <p>8 to be a true and correct copy of the unsigned</p> <p>9 Adjudication of Complaint?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. All right. And then Exhibit 15.</p> <p>12 (Deposition Exhibit No. 15 was marked</p> <p>13 for identification.)</p> <p>14 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>15 Q Does Exhibit 15 appear to relate to P-number</p> <p>16 6908 on --</p> <p>17 A Yes, it does.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And does Exhibit 15 appear to be a</p> <p>19 true and correct copy of the Adjudication of</p> <p>20 Complaint?</p> <p>21 A Yes, it does.</p> <p>22 Q Okay.</p> <p>23 (Deposition Exhibit No. 16 was marked</p> <p>24 for identification.)</p> <p>25 ///</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 47</p> <p>1 discovery request. And we have the names, obviously,</p> <p>2 not redacted on our version, and, again, on the</p> <p>3 Adjudication of Complaint, the names and P-number are</p> <p>4 not redacted. So that's how we are sure we marry</p> <p>5 them up. But here today I'm just looking at the</p> <p>6 facts.</p> <p>7 MR. ANDERSON: If I could do it over, I</p> <p>8 would not redact the P-numbers on the Adjudication of</p> <p>9 Complaint, because it would have been easier.</p> <p>10 MR. MCNUTT: Right. That's what I was</p> <p>11 getting at. Thanks.</p> <p>12 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. But I did check them.</p> <p>13 MR. LAGOMARSINO: The redactions did seem</p> <p>14 more calculated in these ones compared to the other</p> <p>15 one.</p> <p>16 MR. ANDERSON: I have professional duties.</p> <p>17 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Can't tell how hard you're</p> <p>18 pressing that key word.</p> <p>19 All right. So Exhibit 14.</p> <p>20 (Deposition Exhibit No. 14 was marked</p> <p>21 for identification.)</p> <p>22 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>23 Q Does Exhibit 14 appear to relate to the</p> <p>24 incident involving P-number 13142?</p> <p>25 A Yes.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 49</p> <p>1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>2 Q Does Exhibit 16 appear to relate to P-number</p> <p>3 4991 on LVMPD 1390?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And did this take place before you were</p> <p>6 hired over at Metro?</p> <p>7 A The incident, yes. I came to Metro on</p> <p>8 April 5, 2015. So it looks like this individual</p> <p>9 retired right when I got here.</p> <p>10 Q Okay. Are you familiar with this incident</p> <p>11 at all?</p> <p>12 A No. I don't have any personal knowledge of</p> <p>13 this incident.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. All right. Does it appear to be a</p> <p>15 true and correct copy of the Adjudication of</p> <p>16 Complaint?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q So with respect to P-number 8577 on LVMPD</p> <p>19 1390, would there have been an Adjudication of</p> <p>20 Complaint in that case?</p> <p>21 A There should have been, yes.</p> <p>22 Q And would there have been an Adjudication of</p> <p>23 Complaint for -- on the first page of Exhibit 9,</p> <p>24 7980, P-number 7980?</p> <p>25 A Yes. There should have been.</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 50</p> <p>1 Q Were you part of the process in producing</p> <p>2 the Adjudication of Complaint relative to this draft?</p> <p>3 A An analyst in my office produced them. So</p> <p>4 I'm not sure what happened between looking at this</p> <p>5 chart and producing them. I'm not sure where the</p> <p>6 error came in, but we can get those to you.</p> <p>7 Q All right. Now, with respect to Exhibit 9,</p> <p>8 which is the table, what is your understanding of</p> <p>9 what that chart represents?</p> <p>10 A This represents our comparable discipline.</p> <p>11 So like I said, we have a master table that we keep</p> <p>12 of all discipline with all policy violations. When</p> <p>13 we're asked to produce the comps for a specific case,</p> <p>14 we produce the comps relating to that policy</p> <p>15 violation. And they're created after the</p> <p>16 Adjudication of Complaint comes in. They are created</p> <p>17 by analysts in my office at the time. And everything</p> <p>18 on here is completed, and then the summary is done</p> <p>19 based on the facts in the Adjudication of Complaint.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So where it says "Cases involving the</p> <p>21 neck," was that just for this particular case?</p> <p>22 A Yes. So when we pull out the part of the</p> <p>23 comp chart that we're working with, we'll generally</p> <p>24 identify what it is that we pulled the chart out,</p> <p>25 what the reasoning for that was.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 52</p> <p>1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO,</p> <p>2 Q The bottom of the page it says "07/27/17,"</p> <p>3 correct, bottom right?</p> <p>4 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. I see that that says</p> <p>6 that.</p> <p>7 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. All right.</p> <p>8 THE WITNESS: I --</p> <p>9 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Am I saying something</p> <p>10 wrong? Like, if I have a chance to correct the form</p> <p>11 of the question, I want to -- I'm not accusing you of</p> <p>12 a bad objection, I just want to...</p> <p>13 MR. MCNUTT: No. It was unclear to me</p> <p>14 whether she, as the 30(b)(6), has the ability to</p> <p>15 authenticate this document. That's all.</p> <p>16 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Oh, okay.</p> <p>17 MR. MCNUTT: I don't know if she does or</p> <p>18 doesn't. I...</p> <p>19 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. That's what I'm</p> <p>20 going to clear up.</p> <p>21 THE WITNESS: I really don't have knowledge</p> <p>22 of this document to tell you one way or another</p> <p>23 whether this looks -- these numbers are accurate or</p> <p>24 this document is accurate. I mean, it appears to be</p> <p>25 based on what I see here, but I don't have</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 51</p> <p>1 Q Okay. So continuing on Exhibit 17 -- strike</p> <p>2 that.</p> <p>3 Topic 17. I'll hand you what's being marked</p> <p>4 as Exhibit 21.</p> <p>5 (Deposition Exhibit No. 21 was marked</p> <p>6 for identification.)</p> <p>7 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>8 Q What is Exhibit 21?</p> <p>9 A This is part of a document created by, I</p> <p>10 believe, CIRT. I'm not 100 percent sure. It says</p> <p>11 "LVMPD Internal Affairs Bureau." You know what? I</p> <p>12 can't answer that. I'm not 100 percent sure.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. Well, irrespective of who creates it,</p> <p>14 Metro creates it, correct?</p> <p>15 A That's correct.</p> <p>16 Q Have you ever seen this chart before?</p> <p>17 A I don't know that I've seen this exact one.</p> <p>18 I've seen something like it.</p> <p>19 Q Does this at least appear to be a true and</p> <p>20 correct copy of the use of force complaints for 2012</p> <p>21 through 2017, year to date? It appears that's</p> <p>22 July 27, 2017.</p> <p>23 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. Sorry, were</p> <p>24 you done?</p> <p>25 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Yeah. Sorry.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 53</p> <p>1 independent knowledge of this document.</p> <p>2 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Have you ever seen a document like</p> <p>4 this? I guess let me retract that question.</p> <p>5 Are you able to explain what these findings</p> <p>6 mean?</p> <p>7 A Yeah.</p> <p>8 Q So let's go through. Do you have personal</p> <p>9 knowledge, at least, on what some of these findings</p> <p>10 might mean?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 MR. ANDERSON: Andre, I'll stipulate it's a</p> <p>13 true and authentic copy of what it purports to be.</p> <p>14 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. Thank you.</p> <p>15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>16 Q What does -- so here you have complaints and</p> <p>17 allegations for the entire department. Is it fair to</p> <p>18 say that a complaint can contain more than one</p> <p>19 allegation?</p> <p>20 A Yes, and more than one for this employee.</p> <p>21 Q Okay. So what is a complaint?</p> <p>22 A A complaint would be anything -- so I guess</p> <p>23 it doesn't include CIRT complaints, it doesn't look</p> <p>24 like. So this just would be complaints that come</p> <p>25 into Internal Affairs Bureau either through internal</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 54</p> <p>1 mechanisms, somebody files it internal, sergeant          2 against the officer, or somebody from outside of          3 Metro comes in and says, "Somebody used bad force on          4 me," or they go to the Citizen Review Board and file          5 their complaint. And, again, that would be a citizen          6 outside of Metro that would file the complaint with          7 the Citizen Review Board.          8 Q Is there any other mechanism that a citizen          9 can use besides Citizen Review Board to report a          10 complaint?          11 A Yeah, Internal Affairs Bureau. They can          12 come directly to Metro and file a complaint.          13 Q Okay. So if they go to Metro, they'll be          14 directed to IAB?          15 A Yes.          16 Q So does it appear that this document          17 reflects the -- all the complaints received by Metro          18 in the years delineated from citizens?          19 A Yes.          20 Q Okay. What are allegations?          21 A What are allegations?          22 Q Yes.          23 A Would be -- again, I don't know how they got          24 this document. I'm assuming because it's just use of          25 force complaints, that this is just use of force</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 56</p> <p>1 and I always confuse these two. One is that          2 allegations alleged did not occur, and the other one          3 is the allegations did occur but they weren't a          4 policy violation. And I can never remember which one          5 is which.          6 Q Okay. "Investigation dismissed," what does          7 that mean?          8 A I don't know. Obviously it's rare, one          9 time. I don't know what that means.          10 Q Okay. "No policy violation," is that          11 basically an investigation was completed and it was          12 found that there was no policy violation?          13 A If there's no policy violation, it is a          14 prelim investigation. It's closed out on the front          15 end. So they can review the jail video, body worn          16 cam and clearly see what occurred, or it was a          17 completely untruthful complaint, which we get at          18 times, so they close it out on the front end.          19 If it's a full investigation, you would see          20 those findings "exonerated," "not sustained," "SI          21 sustained," "unfounded."          22 Q What does SI mean?          23 A Supervisor intervention. It's a contact          24 report. That can be done on the front end or the          25 back end. It's very low here, as you can see,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 55</p> <p>1 allegations, but maybe it includes other allegations.          2 So sometimes, you know, it's against Officer Smith          3 and the complaint is "He was rude to me," so          4 interaction with the public, and "He used bad force          5 on me." That would be two allegations. Or it could          6 be both Officer Smith and Officer Jones used bad          7 force; two subject employees, one complaint, two          8 allegations.          9 Q Do you know if this document compiles, like,          10 "He was rude to me" complaints?          11 A I don't know. I'm not sure how they got          12 this. I mean, it says just use of force complaints,          13 but I don't know if in the complaint they looked at          14 other allegations that can come along with it.          15 Q Fair enough. So what you're saying is these          16 are use of force complaints, but the use of force          17 complaints could contain other non force-related          18 allegations?          19 A In the complaint world, yes. I don't know          20 if this document is talking about.          21 Q What is DSD staff?          22 A Detention Services Division.          23 Q What does it mean if a finding is          24 exonerated?          25 A Well, there's "exonerated" and "unfounded,"</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 57</p> <p>1 because use of force is typically disciplinary if          2 it's an inappropriate or bad violation of our policy.          3 Q Okay.          4 (Deposition Exhibit No. 22 was marked          5 for identification.)          6 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:          7 Q Is it your understanding that all incidents          8 where an LVNR was used are to be reported?          9 A I believe that's reportable use of force,          10 but I'm not the expert on that.          11 MR. ANDERSON: Andre, this isn't Bates          12 stamped. Did we produce this? I mean, I should          13 have. I'm just wondering if we did.          14 MR. LAGOMARSINO: I'm assuming you did.          15 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. I don't have a problem          16 with it, I just...          17 MR. LAGOMARSINO: I mean --          18 MR. MCNUTT: I did not produce it.          19 MR. ANDERSON: You're fine. I was just          20 wondering.          21 MR. LAGOMARSINO: And I guess I'm just going          22 on the topic of explanation of documentation          23 produced. So I will take a look.          24 MR. ANDERSON: No, I know we produced it at          25 some point, so...</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 58</p> <p>1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>2 Q Have you ever seen this documentation?</p> <p>3 A No.</p> <p>4 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Maybe we'll just -- maybe</p> <p>5 we can agree to save this for Bland, because I'm just</p> <p>6 trying to get into what's effective, what's not</p> <p>7 effective, just explaining this stuff.</p> <p>8 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. But I will stipulate</p> <p>9 this is what it purports to be.</p> <p>10 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. And stipulate it's</p> <p>11 a true and correct copy?</p> <p>12 MR. ANDERSON: Yes.</p> <p>13 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay.</p> <p>14 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, I had Bland look at</p> <p>15 this.</p> <p>16 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay.</p> <p>17 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>18 Q Is Exhibit 9 -- sorry, moving on to Topic</p> <p>19 18, which is the discipline police officers have</p> <p>20 received for improper neck restraints, is that what</p> <p>21 Exhibit 9 is?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q And the other Adjudication of Complaints?</p> <p>24 A Yes.</p> <p>25 Q And the same thing with respect to 19?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 60</p> <p>1 Q In this case, Officer Lopera had a</p> <p>2 recommendation of termination, but he wasn't</p> <p>3 terminated, correct?</p> <p>4 A That's correct.</p> <p>5 Q And he would have had an opportunity to try</p> <p>6 to convince the panel at the pre-termination hearing</p> <p>7 to go against the recommendation?</p> <p>8 A That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q Have you ever seen in your career the panel</p> <p>10 at the pre-termination hearing not go with the</p> <p>11 recommendation?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Is it totally uncommon, common?</p> <p>14 A It's not common.</p> <p>15 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>16 THE WITNESS: It's not common. I can think</p> <p>17 of, I would say, less than five. I don't know. That</p> <p>18 doesn't really give you a number, because I can't</p> <p>19 tell you how many terms we've had. But it's not</p> <p>20 common. I wouldn't say it's rare, but --</p> <p>21 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>22 Q Would you say --</p> <p>23 A -- it's not even half the time.</p> <p>24 Q Okay. I was going to say, so you'd say a</p> <p>25 majority of the time the pre-termination panel will</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 59</p> <p>1 Topic 19 is the discipline for using an LVNR?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Yeah, sorry, one is neck restraint, one</p> <p>4 is --</p> <p>5 A Yeah. We try to be overly broad, so some</p> <p>6 might not quite qualify for what you're looking for.</p> <p>7 But we try to be overly broad with the request.</p> <p>8 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. All right. I know</p> <p>9 I have about five more minutes' worth of questions by</p> <p>10 the time -- let's take a quick break.</p> <p>11 And then I'm just coming back to discipline</p> <p>12 for Lopera. It's pretty much been covered.</p> <p>13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the</p> <p>14 record. The time is approximately 11:24 a.m.</p> <p>15 (Off the record.)</p> <p>16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately</p> <p>17 11:32 a.m. We are back on the record.</p> <p>18 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>19 Q All right. Just a few more questions from</p> <p>20 me. So please go back to Exhibit 2. Is a voluntary</p> <p>21 retirement considered discipline?</p> <p>22 A No.</p> <p>23 Q Is a voluntary retirement on disability</p> <p>24 considered discipline?</p> <p>25 A No.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 61</p> <p>1 go with the recommendation?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>4 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>5 Q But would you say anywhere from -- and this</p> <p>6 is anecdotal evidence a little bit from your</p> <p>7 experience, correct?</p> <p>8 A Correct.</p> <p>9 Q Have you run any statistics to see how often</p> <p>10 the panel at the pre-term hearing goes against the</p> <p>11 recommendation?</p> <p>12 A I have not. I've had them, but I don't have</p> <p>13 that off the top of my head.</p> <p>14 Q Okay. But it happens, correct?</p> <p>15 A It does happen.</p> <p>16 Q Okay. And then if the pre-termination panel</p> <p>17 decides to go with the recommendation and recommend</p> <p>18 termination to the sheriff, have you seen the sheriff</p> <p>19 decline to go with the recommendation of termination?</p> <p>20 A Not the sheriff, no. And the sheriff can</p> <p>21 also overrule the pre-term decision to not terminate.</p> <p>22 Q Okay. All right. Have you seen them do</p> <p>23 that?</p> <p>24 A Not the sheriff.</p> <p>25 Q Okay. Have you been employed at Metro under</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 a different sheriff?</p> <p>2 A No, I have not.</p> <p>3 Q Okay. Are you aware of what other sheriffs</p> <p>4 have done?</p> <p>5 A I'm aware of one instance where the sheriff</p> <p>6 did not agree with the pre-term board's</p> <p>7 recommendation.</p> <p>8 Q Was that Sheriff Gillespie?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And was that a publicized case?</p> <p>11 A I believe so. I don't know if the internal</p> <p>12 portion of it was, but I believe that the incidents</p> <p>13 that caused the investigation were.</p> <p>14 Q And then if the officer is not satisfied</p> <p>15 with the decision of the pre-term panel or the</p> <p>16 sheriff, that officer then also has the opportunity</p> <p>17 to arbitrate?</p> <p>18 A That's correct. Or there's -- if it's less</p> <p>19 than termination, they can go through the other</p> <p>20 grievance process.</p> <p>21 Q And what is that?</p> <p>22 A So, for example, a case right now went to</p> <p>23 the pre-term board. The pre-term board did not</p> <p>24 terminate; they recommended hours instead. So that</p> <p>25 grievance process goes to, I think, the bureau</p>	<p>1 four years, correct?</p> <p>2 A Five.</p> <p>3 Q Five. Since --</p> <p>4 A April --</p> <p>5 Q -- October of -- well, I'm sorry. When?</p> <p>6 A Since April of 2015.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. So --</p> <p>8 A Almost.</p> <p>9 Q Almost five?</p> <p>10 A Yeah.</p> <p>11 Q Five next year?</p> <p>12 A Yes.</p> <p>13 Q Okay. So any answer you gave, as he said in</p> <p>14 a follow-up, is somewhat anecdotal because you would</p> <p>15 only be familiar with the cases that you dealt with</p> <p>16 in those last four and a half years, correct?</p> <p>17 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.</p> <p>18 THE WITNESS: Not any answer I gave. But on</p> <p>19 the ones where I said "In my experience," those would</p> <p>20 be based on the time that I was at Metro or maybe</p> <p>21 sometime before, depending on the answer. Because</p> <p>22 when I was an attorney at Marquis Aurbach Coffing, I</p> <p>23 represented the department, Las Vegas Metropolitan</p> <p>24 Police Department, in disciplinary appeals.</p> <p>25 ///</p>
Page 63	Page 65
<p>1 commander, generally speaking. Sometimes it's the</p> <p>2 deputy chief, depending on how it's adjudicated, and</p> <p>3 then from there it would go to four PPA, our labor</p> <p>4 management board.</p> <p>5 Q Okay.</p> <p>6 A Our labor management board is comprised of a</p> <p>7 randomly selected PPA board member, a randomly</p> <p>8 selected PPA member, a randomly selected lieutenant</p> <p>9 and a randomly selected deputy chief or captain and</p> <p>10 then an arbitrator.</p> <p>11 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. All right. I have</p> <p>12 no further questions.</p> <p>13 EXAMINATION</p> <p>14 BY MR. MCNUTT:</p> <p>15 Q Ms. Frost, my name is Dan McNutt. I</p> <p>16 represent Ken Lopera. I have a few questions for</p> <p>17 you.</p> <p>18 Would you look at Exhibit 2.</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q I apologize. Exhibit 21. It's this one.</p> <p>21 Are you the person most knowledgeable about this</p> <p>22 document?</p> <p>23 A No.</p> <p>24 Q Any question where Mr. Lagomarsino asked</p> <p>25 about in your career, your career with Metro has been</p>	<p>1 BY MR. MCNUTT:</p> <p>2 Q That wasn't my question. My question was in</p> <p>3 any question that Andre asked where he referenced</p> <p>4 your career, not where you referred to your</p> <p>5 experience. So when he -- did you understand that</p> <p>6 when he said "your career," he was talking about the</p> <p>7 four and a half years you've been with Metro? Is</p> <p>8 that how you were answering the question?</p> <p>9 A I believe so, yes, if that's how the</p> <p>10 question was asked.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. So with respect to when you gave the</p> <p>12 very nice narrative answer that he asked for earlier</p> <p>13 on regarding the process by which an officer can be</p> <p>14 separated from Metro and you laid it out and we</p> <p>15 talked -- you referred to it as a pre-term board or</p> <p>16 pre-termination hearing and then the termination</p> <p>17 board and then an arbitration, correct? Are those</p> <p>18 kind of the three big steps?</p> <p>19 A Just a pre-termination hearing.</p> <p>20 Q Okay.</p> <p>21 A Then the sheriff would either agree or not</p> <p>22 agree with the recommendation of the pre-term</p> <p>23 hearing. And then only if the employee then appeals</p> <p>24 that would it go to arbitration.</p> <p>25 Q So at the pre-term hearing, would an officer</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 66</p> <p>1 have the opportunity to present evidence on his          2 behalf or through an attorney or a representative?          3 A Absolutely. It's their time to explain why          4 they should not be terminated.          5 Q Okay. Do you have any statistics regarding          6 how often an officer prevails at that stage?          7 A I have them in my office somewhere, but I do          8 not have them off the top of my head. I can think of          9 less than -- I can think of, like, three off the top          10 of my head.          11 Q And that's three in the last four and a half          12 years?          13 A That's correct.          14 Q Now, are you exclusively the lawyer in          15 charge or the employee of Metro in charge of this          16 area, so would there be other people that have your          17 similar job title and you split the duties or --          18 A No. I'm -- I'm in charge of our bureau that          19 handles the discipline and grievances for officers          20 and civilians.          21 Q So are you aware of all of the cases in the          22 last four and a half years, is what I'm asking?          23 A Yes.          24 Q Okay.          25 A But I could be missing someone. I'm</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 68</p> <p>1 document says regarding the separation of Ken Lopera          2 from Metro, correct?          3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.          4 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Your question is a          5 little bit confusing, but what I can tell from this          6 document is he voluntarily retired with a medical          7 disability.          8 BY MR. MCNUTT:          9 Q Correct. And you couldn't offer any          10 testimony to suggest or speculate what would have          11 happened had Ken Lopera not exercised his rights to          12 separate from Metro the way he did, correct?          13 A I can only tell you the process.          14 Q Why is there no definition of gross          15 insubordination?          16 A I don't know the answer to that question.          17 Q Would it be your recommendation to the          18 sheriff that there should be a definition of gross          19 insubordination so officers know what the standard          20 is?          21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.          22 THE WITNESS: It would make my job easier if          23 there was a definition, but I think the trouble is          24 that it's a really fact-intensive determination and          25 to try to define it is very difficult. It really</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 67</p> <p>1 thinking off the top of my head. That's why I'm          2 saying I can think of less than five.          3 Q And if an officer lost in the termination          4 phase, he could then proceed with the arbitration          5 that you discussed, correct?          6 A Correct. So if the officer was terminated,          7 then they could file an appeal for arbitration.          8 Q And the officer would, himself or through a          9 representative, also have another opportunity to          10 present evidence to overturn the prior decision,          11 correct?          12 A That's correct.          13 Q And if the arbitrator or the arbitration          14 panel rules in the officer's favor, can the sheriff          15 overrule that then or is he bound to that?          16 A He is bound by that, unless we file an          17 appeal to the district court -- and as you know, that          18 standard is very high, so we do not do that very          19 often -- and the decision of the arbitrator stands.          20 Q Okay. So now looking at Exhibit 2, the          21 Employment Separation Notice, so by virtue of the          22 fact that the "voluntary retirement" box is checked          23 as well as the subset of that, which is the          24 "disability (PERS)" box is checked, you really can't          25 infer anything other than what the face of this</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 69</p> <p>1 depends on the facts and circumstances of each          2 particular case.          3 BY MR. MCNUTT:          4 Q I don't recall your answer to          5 Mr. Lagomarsino earlier on as to whether you have          6 ever testified as a 30(b)(6) witness before. Have          7 you?          8 A I believe -- well, I know I have in one          9 case. I can't remember the second case if I was          10 30(b)(6) or they just identified my name personally.          11 Q In this case, what documents did you review          12 to prepare for your deposition?          13 A The Comparable Discipline Chart and          14 Adjudication of Complaints.          15 Q And did you -- without telling me any          16 discussions, did you prepare or were you assisted in          17 preparation for this deposition by anyone?          18 A I did meet with Craig.          19 Q Your counsel?          20 A Yes.          21 Q Or Metro's counsel?          22 A Yes.          23 Q Okay. Now, as a practicing lawyer, prior to          24 your career with Metro, you're aware of the fact that          25 some things -- if a 30(b)(6) witness is prepared, the</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 70</p> <p>1 facts that were presented to the 30(b)(6) witness are</p> <p>2 not privileged? Are you aware of that?</p> <p>3 A Sounds right to me.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So my question, then, is did Craig</p> <p>5 provide any facts to you to prepare you for this</p> <p>6 deposition?</p> <p>7 A He told me generally about this case, but</p> <p>8 that was it.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. And what did he generally tell you</p> <p>10 about this case?</p> <p>11 A That there were two lawsuits going on: one</p> <p>12 for the children, one for the mom, I think. And I</p> <p>13 think that's really it. It was very brief.</p> <p>14 Q So with respect to the documents that you</p> <p>15 reviewed in preparing for the deposition, is it your</p> <p>16 testimony you didn't need anybody's help in</p> <p>17 understanding what those documents stand for?</p> <p>18 A No. Those are documents created by my</p> <p>19 office.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. And so those are documents you deal</p> <p>21 with routinely every day?</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 MR. MCNUTT: I have no further questions.</p> <p>24 ///</p> <p>25 ///</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 72</p> <p>1 you to fill in how many pre-termination hearings</p> <p>2 there have been and how many have -- would you able</p> <p>3 to fill that in?</p> <p>4 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>5 THE WITNESS: Assuming my counsel said it's</p> <p>6 the right thing to do, yes, I can. I have that</p> <p>7 information.</p> <p>8 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>9 Q All right. And if I asked to fill in the</p> <p>10 blank as to how many pre-termination hearing</p> <p>11 panels -- is that the right word, "panels"?</p> <p>12 A Board is what we call it.</p> <p>13 Q Board.</p> <p>14 -- how many pre-termination hearing boards</p> <p>15 did not go with the recommendation, would you be able</p> <p>16 to fill in that blank?</p> <p>17 A I would have that information, yes.</p> <p>18 Q So I would ask at this point, unless counsel</p> <p>19 advises you otherwise, to kindly fill in those</p> <p>20 blanks. We'll leave blanks here in the deposition.</p> <p>21 A And you want just from my tenure or...</p> <p>22 Q Just from your tenure.</p> <p>23 MR. MCNUTT: I'll object to that discovery</p> <p>24 request. We can deal with it.</p> <p>25 (The following information to be</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 71</p> <p>1 FURTHER EXAMINATION</p> <p>2 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>3 Q Just a follow-up. In your career with</p> <p>4 Metro, how many pre-termination hearings can you</p> <p>5 estimate there have been?</p> <p>6 A I really can't estimate that. I would be</p> <p>7 way off, or I could be way off. I'd be totally</p> <p>8 guessing if I gave you a number.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. Is it every day there's a</p> <p>10 pre-termination hearing?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q All right. Would you say 25 or less?</p> <p>13 MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.</p> <p>14 THE WITNESS: In my four and a half years?</p> <p>15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:</p> <p>16 Q Yeah.</p> <p>17 A I would say that sounds about right, less --</p> <p>18 25 or less. But, again, I'm guessing. I'm just</p> <p>19 going off what I -- what I -- my feeling is on those.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. We could look at the statistics to</p> <p>21 see how many there have been, correct?</p> <p>22 A Absolutely.</p> <p>23 Q Okay.</p> <p>24 A We have those numbers.</p> <p>25 Q If we leave a blank in the deposition for</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 73</p> <p>1 supplied by the Deponent:</p> <p>2 _____</p> <p>3 _____</p> <p>4 _____.)</p> <p>5 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Okay. All right.</p> <p>6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me, Counsel.</p> <p>7 Would you like a copy of the video?</p> <p>8 MR. ANDERSON: No.</p> <p>9 MR. LAGOMARSINO: No more questions, sorry.</p> <p>10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the video</p> <p>11 deposition of Jamie Frost, Esquire.</p> <p>12 The original media of today's testimony will</p> <p>13 remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video.</p> <p>14 The time is approximately 11:47 a.m. We are</p> <p>15 going off the record.</p> <p>16 (Thereupon, the videotaped deposition</p> <p>17 was concluded at 11:47 a.m.)</p> <p>18</p> <p>19 * * * * *</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
 \* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

20 (Pages 74 to 75)

<div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 10px;">Page 74</div> <div style="margin-bottom: 10px;"> <p>1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT</p> <p>2 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON</p> <p>3 _____</p> <p>4 _____</p> <p>5 _____</p> <p>6 _____</p> <p>7 _____</p> <p>8 _____</p> <p>9 _____</p> <p>10 _____</p> <p>11 _____</p> <p>12 _____</p> <p>13 _____</p> <p>14 *****</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 I, JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE, deponent herein, do</p> <p>17 hereby certify and declare that the within and</p> <p>18 foregoing transcription to be my deposition in said</p> <p>19 action; that I have read, corrected, and do hereby</p> <p>20 affix my signature to said deposition.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23 _____</p> <p>24 JAMIE FROST, ESQUIRE</p> <p>25</p> </div>	
<div style="text-align: right; margin-bottom: 10px;">Page 75</div> <div> <p>1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER</p> <p>2 STATE OF NEVADA )</p> <p>3 ) ss:</p> <p>4 COUNTY OF CLARK )</p> <p>5</p> <p>6 I, Sarah Safier, CCR No. 808, do thereby</p> <p>7 certify: That I reported the deposition of JAMIE</p> <p>8 FROST, ESQUIRE, commencing on Tuesday,</p> <p>9 November 12, 2019, at 10:05 a.m.</p> <p>10 That prior to being deposed, the witness was</p> <p>11 duly sworn by me to testify to the truth. That I</p> <p>12 thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into</p> <p>13 typewriting and that the typewritten transcript is a</p> <p>14 complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said</p> <p>15 shorthand notes. That prior to the conclusion of the</p> <p>16 proceedings, pursuant to NRCp 30(e), the reading and</p> <p>17 signing of the transcript was requested by the</p> <p>18 witness or a party.</p> <p>19 I further certify that I am not a relative</p> <p>20 or employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a</p> <p>21 relative or employee of the parties involved in said</p> <p>22 action, nor a person financially interested in the</p> <p>23 action.</p> <p>24 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my</p> <p>25 office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this</p> <p>21st day of November, 2019.</p> <p>_____</p> <p>Sarah Safier, CCR No. 808</p> </div>	

Jamie Frost, Esq. ~ 30(b)(6) Rep. of LVMPD ~ November 12, 2019  
\* \* \* Videotaped Deposition \* \* \*

Page 75

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 STATE OF NEVADA )  
3 ) ss:  
4 COUNTY OF CLARK )

5 I, Sarah Safier, CCR No. 808, do thereby  
6 certify: That I reported the deposition of JAMIE  
7 FROST, ESQUIRE, commencing on Tuesday,  
8 November 12, 2019, at 10:05 a.m.

9 That prior to being deposed, the witness was  
10 duly sworn by me to testify to the truth. That I  
11 thereafter transcribed my said shorthand notes into  
12 typewriting and that the typewritten transcript is a  
13 complete, true, and accurate transcription of my said  
14 shorthand notes. That prior to the conclusion of the  
15 proceedings, pursuant to NRCP 30(e), the reading and  
16 signing of the transcript was requested by the  
17 witness or a party.

18 I further certify that I am not a relative  
19 or employee of counsel of any of the parties, nor a  
20 relative or employee of the parties involved in said  
21 action, nor a person financially interested in the  
22 action.

23 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand in my  
24 office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada, this  
25 21st day of November, 2019.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033  
1034  
1035  
1036  
1037  
1038  
1039  
1040  
1041  
1042  
1043  
1044  
1045  
1046  
1047  
1048  
1049  
1050  
1051  
1052  
1053  
1054  
1055  
1056  
1057  
1058  
1059  
1060  
1061  
1062  
1063  
1064  
1065  
1066  
1067  
1068  
1069  
1070  
1071  
1072  
1073  
1074  
1075  
1076  
1077  
1078  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1099  
1100  
1101  
1102  
1103  
1104  
1105  
1106  
1107  
1108  
1109  
1110  
1111  
1112  
1113  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129  
1130  
1131  
1132  
1133  
1134  
1135  
1136  
1137  
1138  
1139  
1140  
1141  
1142  
1143  
1144  
1145  
1146  
1147  
1148  
1149  
1150  
1151  
1152  
1153  
1154  
1155  
1156  
1157  
1158  
1159  
1160  
1161  
1162  
1163  
1164  
1165  
1166  
1167  
1168  
1169  
1170  
1171  
1172  
1173  
1174  
1175  
1176  
1177  
1178  
1179  
1180  
1181  
1182  
1183  
1184  
1185  
1186  
1187  
1188  
1189  
1190  
1191  
1192  
1193  
1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238  
1239  
1240  
1241  
1242  
1243  
1244  
1245  
1246  
1247  
1248  
1249  
1250  
1251  
1252  
1253  
1254  
1255  
1256  
1257  
1258  
1259  
1260  
1261  
1262  
1263  
1264  
1265  
1266  
1267  
1268  
1269  
1270  
1271  
1272  
1273  
1274  
1275  
1276  
1277  
1278  
1279  
1280  
1281  
1282  
1283  
1284  
1285  
1286  
1287  
1288  
1289  
1290  
1291  
1292  
1293  
1294  
1295  
1296  
1297  
1298  
1299  
1300  
1301  
1302  
1303  
1304  
1305  
1306  
1307  
1308  
1309  
1310  
1311  
1312  
1313  
1314  
1315  
1316  
1317  
1318  
1319  
1320  
1321  
1322  
1323  
1324  
1325  
1326  
1327  
1328  
1329  
1330  
1331  
1332  
1333  
1334  
1335  
1336  
1337  
1338  
1339  
1340  
1341  
1342  
1343  
1344  
1345  
1346  
1347  
1348  
1349  
1350  
1351  
1352  
1353  
1354  
1355  
1356  
1357  
1358  
1359  
1360  
1361  
1362  
1363  
1364  
1365  
1366  
1367  
1368  
1369  
1370  
1371  
1372  
1373  
1374  
1375  
1376  
1377  
1378  
1379  
1380  
1381  
1382  
1383  
1384  
1385  
1386  
1387  
1388  
1389  
1390  
1391  
1392  
1393  
1394  
1395  
1396  
1397  
1398  
1399  
1400  
1401  
1402  
1403  
1404  
1405  
1406  
1407  
1408  
1409  
1410  
1411  
1412  
1413  
1414  
1415  
1416  
1417  
1418  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460  
1461  
1462  
1463  
1464  
1465  
1466  
1467  
1468  
1469  
1470  
1471  
1472  
1473  
1474  
1475  
1476  
1477  
1478  
1479  
1480  
1481  
1482  
1483  
1484  
1485  
1486  
1487  
1488  
1489  
1490  
1491  
1492  
1493  
1494  
1495  
1496  
1497  
1498  
1499  
1500  
1501  
1502  
1503  
1504  
1505  
1506  
1507  
1508  
1509  
1510  
1511  
1512  
1513  
1514  
1515  
1516  
1517  
1518  
1519  
1520  
1521  
1522  
1523  
1524  
1525  
1526  
1527  
1528  
1529  
1530  
1531  
1532  
1533  
1534  
1535  
1536  
1537  
1538  
1539  
1540  
1541  
1542  
1543  
1544  
1545  
1546  
1547  
1548  
1549  
1550  
1551  
1552  
1553  
1554  
1555  
1556  
1557  
1558  
1559  
1560  
1561  
1562  
1563  
1564  
1565  
1566  
1567  
1568  
1569  
1570  
1571  
1572  
1573  
1574  
1575  
1576  
1577  
1578  
1579  
1580  
1581  
1582  
1583  
1584  
1585  
1586  
1587  
1588  
1589  
1590  
1591  
1592  
1593  
1594  
1595  
1596  
1597  
1598  
1599  
1600  
1601  
1602  
1603  
1604  
1605  
1606  
1607  
1608  
1609  
1610  
1611  
1612  
1613  
1614  
1615  
1616  
1617  
1618  
1619  
1620  
1621  
1622  
1623  
1624  
1625  
1626  
1627  
1628  
1629  
1630  
1631  
1632  
1633  
1634  
1635  
1636  
1637  
1638  
1639  
1640  
1641  
1642  
1643  
1644  
1645  
1646  
1647  
1648  
1649  
1650  
1651  
1652  
1653  
1654  
1655  
1656  
1657  
1658  
1659  
1660  
1661  
1662  
1663  
1664  
1665  
1666  
1667  
1668  
1669  
1670  
1671  
1672  
1673  
1674  
1675  
1676  
1677  
1678  
1679  
1680  
1681  
1682  
1683  
1684  
1685  
1686  
1687  
1688  
1689  
1690  
1691  
1692  
1693  
1694  
1695  
1696  
1697  
1698  
1699  
1700  
1701  
1702  
1703  
1704  
1705  
1706  
1707  
1708  
1709  
1710  
1711  
1712  
1713  
1714  
1715  
1716  
1717  
1718  
1719  
1720  
1721  
1722  
1723  
1724  
1725  
1726  
1727  
1728  
1729  
1730  
1731  
1732  
1733  
1734  
1735  
1736  
1737  
1738  
1739  
1740  
1741  
1742  
1743  
1744  
1745  
1746  
1747  
1748  
1749  
1750  
1751  
1752  
1753  
1754  
1755  
1756  
1757  
1758  
1759  
1760  
1761  
1762  
1763  
1764  
1765  
1766  
1767  
1768  
1769  
1770  
1771  
1772  
1773  
1774  
1775  
1776  
1777  
1778  
1779  
1780  
1781  
1782  
1783  
1784  
1785  
1786  
1787  
1788  
1789  
1790  
1791  
1792  
1793  
1794  
1795  
1796  
1797  
1798  
1799  
1800  
1801  
1802  
1803  
1804  
1805  
1806  
1807  
1808  
1809  
1810  
1811  
1812  
1813  
1814  
1815  
1816  
1817  
1818  
1819  
1820  
1821  
1822  
1823  
1824  
1825  
1826  
1827  
1828  
1829  
1830  
1831  
1832  
1833  
1834  
1835  
1836  
1837  
1838  
1839  
1840  
1841  
1842  
1843  
1844  
1845  
1846  
1847  
1848  
1849  
1850  
1851  
1852  
1853  
1854  
1855  
1856  
1857  
1858  
1859  
1860  
1861  
1862  
1863  
1864  
1865  
1866  
1867  
1868  
1869  
1870  
1871  
1872  
1873  
1874  
1875  
1876  
1877  
1878  
1879  
1880  
1881  
1882  
1883  
1884  
1885  
1886  
1887  
1888  
1889  
1890  
1891  
1892  
1893  
1894  
1895  
1896  
1897  
1898  
1899  
1900  
1901  
1902  
1903  
1904  
1905  
1906  
1907  
1908  
1909  
1910  
1911  
1912  
1913  
1914  
1915  
1916  
1917  
1918  
1919  
1920  
1921  
1922  
1923  
1924  
1925  
1926  
1927  
1928  
1929  
1930  
1931  
1932  
1933  
1934  
1935  
1936  
1937  
1938  
1939  
1940  
1941  
1942  
1943  
1944  
1945  
1946  
1947  
1948  
1949  
1950  
1951  
1952  
1953  
1954  
1955  
1956  
1957  
1958  
1959  
1960  
1961  
1962  
1963  
1964  
1965  
1966  
1967  
1968  
1969  
1970  
1971  
1972  
1973  
1974  
1975  
1976  
1977  
1978  
1979  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049  
2050  
2051  
2052  
2053  
2054  
2055  
2056  
2057  
2058  
2059  
2060  
2061  
2062  
2063  
2064  
2065  
2066  
2067  
2068  
2069  
2070  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109  
2110  
2111  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
21